

Exercise 1. Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then:

$$S_N = \sum_{n=0}^N (2^n + n) = \sum_{n=0}^N 2^n + \sum_{n=0}^N n.$$

Using the sum of the terms of a geometric sequence we obtain

$$\sum_{n=0}^N 2^n = \frac{1 - 2^{N+1}}{1 - 2} = 2^{N+1} - 1,$$

and using the sum of the consecutive integers we obtain

$$\sum_{n=0}^N n = \frac{N(N+1)}{2}.$$

Hence,

$$S_N = 2^{N+1} - 1 + \frac{N(N+1)}{2}.$$

Now, we also have

$$S_N = \sum_{n=0}^N (a_{n+1} - a_n) = a_{N+1} - a_0 = a_{N+1} \quad (\text{since } a_0 = 0),$$

hence

$$a_{N+1} = 2^{N+1} - 1 + \frac{N(N+1)}{2},$$

hence,

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*, a_n = 2^n - 1 + \frac{(n-1)n}{2}.$$

It turns out that this formula is also correct for  $n = 0$ , hence

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, a_n = 2^n - 1 + \frac{(n-1)n}{2}.$$

Exercise 2. We notice that 4 is an obvious eigenvalue since

$$\text{rk}(A - 4I_3) = \text{rk} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = 1 \neq 3.$$

We also conclude that the dimension of the eigenspace  $E_4$  is  $\dim E_4 = \dim \text{Ker}(A - 4I_3) = 3 - 1 = 2$ . Hence the multiplicity  $\text{mult}(4)$  of the eigenvalue 4 is at least 2. We're missing only one eigenvalue, say  $\lambda$  so we use the trace of  $A$ :  $\text{tr}(A) = 10 = 4 + 4 + \lambda$ , hence  $\lambda = 10 - 2 \times 4 = 2 \neq 4$ . Now, we know that the multiplicity of 2 is at least 1, and the sum of the multiplicities is 3, so we must have  $\text{mult}(2) = 1$  and  $\text{mult}(4) = 2$ . Since  $\text{mult}(4) = 2 = \dim E_4$  (and we don't check anything for eigenvalues of multiplicity 1, the dimension of the eigenspace being automatically 1), we conclude that the matrix  $A$  is diagonalizable.

We now determine a basis of eigenvectors:

•  $E_4$ : let  $X = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix}$ . Then:

$$AX = 4X \iff \begin{cases} x + y - z = 0 \\ y = y \\ z = z \end{cases} \iff \begin{cases} x = y - z \\ y = y \\ z = z \end{cases} \iff X = y \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + z \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

•  $E_2$ : let  $X = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix}$ . Then:

$$AX = 2X \iff \begin{cases} x + y - z = 0 \\ 2y = 0 \\ -x + y + z = 0 \end{cases} \iff \begin{cases} x = z \\ y = 0 \\ z = z \end{cases} \iff X = z \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, if we set

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad D = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

we have  $A = PDP^{-1}$ .

If we set, for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $X(t) = \begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ y(t) \\ z(t) \end{pmatrix}$ , then the linear system (S) can be written as

$$X'(t) = AX(t),$$

i.e.,

$$X'(t) = PDP^{-1}X(t)$$

We set  $U(t) = P^{-1}X(t)$ , and we give a name to the components of  $U$ , say  $U(t) = \begin{pmatrix} u(t) \\ v(t) \\ w(t) \end{pmatrix}$ . Then, since  $P$  is a constant matrix, the linear system (S) is equivalent to

$$U'(t) = DU(t),$$

namely

$$S' \begin{cases} u'(t) = 4u(t) \\ v'(t) = 4v(t) \\ w'(t) = 2w(t) \end{cases} \iff \begin{cases} u(t) = C_1 e^{4t} \\ v(t) = C_2 e^{4t} \\ w(t) = C_3 e^{2t} \end{cases} \quad \text{for some } C_1, C_2, C_3 \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Since  $X(t) = PU(t)$ , we conclude that

$$\begin{cases} x(t) = (C_1 - C_2)e^{4t} + C_3 e^{2t} \\ y(t) = C_1 e^{4t} \\ z(t) = C_2 e^{4t} + C_3 e^{2t} \end{cases}$$

Exercise 3.

Part I

1. • Let  $x \in [1, +\infty)$ . Notice that

$$\forall t \in [1, x], \frac{\ln(t)}{1+t^2} \geq 0$$

(since  $x \geq 1$ ) hence, integrating from 1 to  $x$  (with  $1 \leq x$ ) yields

$$F(x) = \int_1^x \frac{\ln(t)}{1+t^2} dt \geq 0.$$

• Let  $x \in (0, 1]$ . Notice that

$$\forall t \in [x, 1], \frac{\ln(t)}{1+t^2} \leq 0$$

(since  $x \leq 1$ ) hence, integrating from 1 to  $x$  (with  $1 \geq x$ , remember that will change the sign of the inequality) yields

$$F(x) = \int_1^x \frac{\ln(t)}{1+t^2} dt \geq 0.$$

Conclusion:

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}_+, F(x) \geq 0.$$

2. Since the function  $h: t \mapsto \frac{\ln(t)}{1+t^2}$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}_+^*$ ,  $h$  possesses an antiderivative on  $\mathbb{R}_+^*$ , say  $H$ : this means that  $H$  is a differentiable function on  $\mathbb{R}_+^*$  and that

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}_+^*, H'(x) = h(x).$$

Now, the function  $H$  being an antiderivative of  $h$ , by the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus, one has

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}_+^*, F(x) = H(x) - H(1).$$

Since  $H$  is differentiable on  $\mathbb{R}_+^*$ , we conclude that  $F$  is differentiable on  $\mathbb{R}_+^*$  and that

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}_+^*, F'(x) = H'(x) = \frac{\ln(x)}{1+x^2},$$

from which we observe that  $F'$  is of class  $C^\infty$ , hence  $F$  is of class  $C^\infty$  (and in particular of class  $C^1$ ).

3. Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^* \setminus \{1\}$ . We use the substitution  $u = 1/t$ : then  $dt = -du/u^2$  and

$$F(x) = \int_1^x \frac{\ln(t)}{1+t^2} dt = \int_1^{1/x} \frac{\ln(1/u)}{1+(1/u)^2} \left(-\frac{du}{u^2}\right) = \int_1^{1/x} \frac{\ln(u)}{1+u^2} du = F(1/x).$$

Of course, this equality is obviously true if  $x = 1$ .

4. a) Clearly,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \varphi(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\arctan(t)}{t} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\arctan(t) - \arctan(0)}{t - 0} = \arctan'(0) = 1,$$

hence  $\varphi$  possesses an extension by continuity at 0, namely the function  $\tilde{\varphi}$  defined by

$$\tilde{\varphi}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \begin{cases} \mathbb{R} \\ t \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{\arctan(t)}{t} & \text{if } t \neq 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } t = 0. \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

- b) Since  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ , we know that the function  $\Phi$  is an antiderivative of  $\tilde{\varphi}$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  (it's actually the antiderivative of  $\tilde{\varphi}$  that vanishes at 0), and in particular  $\Phi$  is differentiable hence continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

- c) Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$ . By an integration by parts (differentiating  $t \mapsto \ln(t)$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} F(x) &= \int_1^x \frac{\ln(t)}{1+t^2} dt = [\ln(t) \arctan(t)]_{t=1}^{t=x} - \int_1^x \frac{\arctan(t)}{t} dt \\ &= \ln(x) \arctan(x) - \ln(1) \arctan(1) - \int_1^x \tilde{\varphi}(t) dt = \int_1^x \tilde{\varphi}(t) dt \\ &= \ln(x) \arctan(x) + \Phi(1) - \Phi(x). \end{aligned}$$

- d) Since

$$\arctan(x) \ln(x) \underset{x \rightarrow 0^+}{\sim} x \ln(x) \underset{x \rightarrow 0^+}{\rightarrow} 0,$$

and since  $\Phi$  is continuous, we conclude that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} F(x) = 0 - \Phi(0) - \Phi(1) = -\Phi(1) \quad (\text{since } \Phi(0) = 0).$$

Hence  $F$  possesses an extension by continuity on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ , namely the function  $\tilde{F}$  defined by

$$\tilde{F}: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \begin{cases} \mathbb{R} \\ x \mapsto \begin{cases} F(x) & \text{if } x \neq 0 \\ -\Phi(1) & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

5. For all  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$ ,

$$\frac{\tilde{F}(x) - \tilde{F}(0)}{x} = \frac{\arctan(x) \ln(x)}{x} - \frac{\Phi(x) - \Phi(0)}{x}.$$

Now, the limit

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\Phi(x) - \Phi(0)}{x} = \Phi'(0) = \tilde{\varphi}(0) = 1$$

exists in  $\mathbb{R}$ , since  $\Phi$  is differentiable, but

$$\frac{\arctan(x) \ln(x)}{x} \underset{x \rightarrow 0^+}{\sim} \ln(x) \underset{x \rightarrow 0^+}{\rightarrow} -\infty,$$

hence

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\tilde{F}(x) - \tilde{F}(0)}{x - 0} = -\infty,$$

hence  $\tilde{F}$  is not differentiable (from the right) at 0.

6. Remark: we can't use the Mean Value Theorem directly on  $[0, 1]$ , since the function  $\ln$  is not continuous on  $[0, 1]$  (as  $\ln$  is not even defined at 0). Let  $x \in (0, 1]$ . The function  $\ln$  is continuous on  $[x, 1]$  and the function  $t \mapsto 1/(1+t^2)$  is (piecewise) continuous and positive on  $[x, 1]$  hence, by the Mean Value Theorem, there exists  $c_x \in [x, 1]$  such that

$$F(x) = \int_1^x \frac{\ln(t)}{1+t^2} dt = \frac{1}{1+c_x^2} \int_1^x \ln(t) dt = \frac{1}{1+c_x^2} [t \ln(t) - t]_{t=1}^{t=x} = \frac{1}{1+c_x^2} (x \ln(x) - x + 1).$$

Notice that since  $c_x \in [x, 1] \subset (0, 1]$ , one has

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{1}{1+c_x^2} \leq 1.$$

Moreover, since  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} x \ln(x) - x + 1 = 1$ , there exists  $x_0 \in (0, 1]$  such that

$$\forall x \in (0, x_0], x \ln(x) - x + 1 \geq 0,$$

so that

$$\forall x \in (0, x_0], \frac{1}{2} (x \ln(x) - x + 1) \leq \frac{1}{1+c_x^2} (x \ln(x) - x + 1) \leq x \ln(x) - x + 1.$$

Hence,

$$\forall x \in (0, x_0], \frac{1}{2} (x \ln(x) - x + 1) \leq F(x) \leq x \ln(x) - x + 1$$

and taking the limit as  $x \rightarrow 0^+$  yields

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq \tilde{F}(0) \leq 1$$

## Part II

1. Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$ . By an integration by parts, differentiating the  $\ln$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} I_k(x) &= \int_1^x t^k \ln(t) dt \\ &= \left[ \frac{t^{k+1}}{k+1} \ln(t) \right]_{t=1}^{t=x} - \int_1^x \frac{t^{k+1}}{k+1} \frac{1}{t} dt \\ &= \frac{x^{k+1}}{k+1} - \frac{1}{k+1} \int_1^x t^k dt \\ &= \frac{x^{k+1}}{k+1} - \frac{1}{k+1} \left[ \frac{t^{k+1}}{k+1} \right]_{t=1}^{t=x} \\ &= \frac{x^{k+1}}{k+1} - \frac{1}{(k+1)^2} (x^{k+1} - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} I_k(x) = \frac{1}{(k+1)^2}.$$

2. Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then:

$$\sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k t^{2k} = \sum_{k=0}^n (-t^2)^k = \frac{1 - (-t^2)^{n+1}}{1 + t^2} = \frac{1 - (-1)^{n+1} t^{2n+2}}{1 + t^2}.$$

Hence,

$$\frac{1}{1 + t^2} - \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k t^{2k} = (-1)^{n+1} \frac{t^{2n+2}}{1 + t^2},$$

and taking the absolute value yields

$$\left| \frac{1}{1 + t^2} - \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k t^{2k} \right| = \frac{t^{2n+2}}{1 + t^2} \leq t^{2n+2},$$

since  $1 + t^2 > 0$ .

3. Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $t \in (0, 1]$ . Multiplying the previous inequality by  $|\ln(t)| = -\ln(t) \geq 0$  yields

$$\left| \frac{\ln(t)}{1 + t^2} - \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k t^{2k} \ln(t) \right| \leq -\ln(t) t^{2n+2},$$

Now, for  $x \in (0, 1]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_1^x \frac{\ln(t)}{1 + t^2} dt - \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k I_{2k}(x) \right| &= \left| \int_1^x \frac{\ln(t)}{1 + t^2} dt - \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \int_1^x t^{2k} \ln(t) dt \right| \\ &= \left| \int_1^x \left( \frac{\ln(t)}{1 + t^2} - \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k t^{2k} \ln(t) \right) dt \right| \\ &= \left| \int_x^1 \left( \frac{\ln(t)}{1 + t^2} - \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k t^{2k} \ln(t) \right) dt \right| \\ &\leq \int_x^1 \left| \frac{\ln(t)}{1 + t^2} - \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k t^{2k} \ln(t) \right| dt \quad \text{by the Triangle Inequality, since } x < 1 \\ &\leq \int_x^1 -\ln(t) t^{2n+2} dt \quad \text{by the previous inequality, since } x < 1 \\ &= \int_1^x \ln(t) t^{2n+2} dt = I_{2n+2}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, taking the limit as  $x \rightarrow 0^+$  yields

$$\left| \bar{F}(0) - \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(-1)^k}{(2k+1)^2} \right| \leq \frac{1}{(2n+3)^2}.$$

4. In the special case  $n = 500$ , we obtain

$$\left| \bar{F}(0) - \sum_{k=0}^{500} \frac{(-1)^k}{(2k+1)^2} \right| \leq \frac{1}{1003^2} < 10^{-6},$$

i.e.,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{500} \frac{(-1)^k}{(2k+1)^2} - 10^{-6} < \bar{F}(0) < \sum_{k=0}^{500} \frac{(-1)^k}{(2k+1)^2} + 10^{-6}.$$

Now, from the result given by Maple, we conclude that

$$0.915966 < \sum_{k=0}^{500} \frac{(-1)^k}{(2k+1)^2} < 0.915967,$$

hence,

$$0.915965 < \bar{F}(0) < 0.915968.$$

We hence obtain the approximation of  $\bar{F}(0)$  correct to 5 decimal places:<sup>1</sup>

$$\bar{F}(0) = 0.91596 \dots$$

#### Exercise 4.

##### Part I

1. Since  $A$  is a triangular matrix, we directly read the eigenvalues of  $A$  and their multiplicities on the diagonal.  $A$  possesses a unique eigenvalue, namely 2, of multiplicity 3. The matrix  $A$  is not diagonalizable for if it were,  $A$  would be  $2I_3$  (as  $A$  possesses a unique eigenvalue), which is false.

2.

$$N^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad N^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence,

$$\forall n \geq 3, N^n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

3. Let  $n \geq 2$ . Since the matrices  $N$  and  $2I_3$  commute (i.e.,  $N(2I_3) = 2M = (2I_3)N$ ), the Binomial Theorem states that

$$A^n = (N + 2I_3)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} N^k (2I_3)^{n-k}.$$

Now, since

$$\forall k \geq 3, N^k = 0_{M_3(\mathbb{R})},$$

we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} A^n &= (N + 2I_3)^n = \sum_{k=0}^2 \binom{n}{k} N^k (2I_3)^{n-k} \\ &= \binom{n}{0} N^0 (2I_3)^n + \binom{n}{1} N^1 (2I_3)^{n-1} + \binom{n}{2} N^2 (2I_3)^{n-2} \\ &= 2^n I_3 + 2^{n-1} n N + \frac{n(n-1)}{2} 2^{n-2} N^2 \\ &= 2^n I_3 + 2^{n-1} n N + 2^{n-3} n(n-1) N^2 \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 2^n & 2^{n-1} n & 2^{n-2} n(n-1) \\ 0 & 2^n & 2^{n-2} n(n-1) \\ 0 & 0 & 2^n \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

In the cases  $n = 1$  and  $n = 0$ , we read

$$A^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

which is true.

##### Part II

1. By definition of Span, the family  $\mathcal{B}$  is a generating family of  $F$ . We hence only need to show that the family  $\mathcal{B}$  is an independent family. Let  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\alpha f_0 + \alpha f_1 + \alpha f_2 = 0_E$ , i.e., such that

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, (\alpha + \beta x + \gamma x^2) e^{2x} = 0.$$

<sup>1</sup>The Maple command `evalf[100]` (Catalan) yields

0.9159655941772190150546035149323841107741493742816721342664981196217630197762547694793565129261151062.

Since the exponential never vanishes, we then have

$$\forall x \in \mathbf{R}, \alpha + \beta x + \gamma x^2 = 0,$$

and since the function  $x \mapsto \alpha + \beta x + \gamma x^2$  is a polynomial function, we conclude that all its coefficients must be nil, namely that  $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 0$ . Hence  $\mathcal{B}$  is an independent family, hence  $\mathcal{B}$  is a basis of  $F$ . We then conclude that  $\dim F = \#\mathcal{B} = 3$ .

2 Let  $f \in F$ , say  $f = \alpha f_0 + \beta f_1 + \gamma f_2$ , i.e.,

$$\forall x \in \mathbf{R}, f(x) = (\alpha + \beta x + \gamma x^2)e^{2x}.$$

Then

$$\forall x \in \mathbf{R}, f'(x) = (2\alpha + \beta + (2\beta + 2\gamma)x + 2\gamma x^2)e^{2x},$$

and we conclude that

$$f' = (2\alpha + \beta)f_0 + (2\beta + 2\gamma)f_1 + 2\gamma f_2 \in \text{Span}\{f_0, f_1, f_2\} = F.$$

Hence  $\psi$  is well-defined.

We now show that  $\psi$  is linear: let  $f, g \in F$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$ . Then

$$\psi(f + \lambda g) = (f + \lambda g)' = f' + \lambda g' = \psi(f) + \lambda \psi(g).$$

3 From the previous computation, i.e.,

$$\psi(\alpha f_0 + \beta f_1 + \gamma f_2) = (2\alpha + \beta)f_0 + (2\beta + 2\gamma)f_1 + 2\gamma f_2,$$

we conclude that

$$[\psi(f_0)]_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad [\psi(f_1)]_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad [\psi(f_2)]_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix},$$

hence

$$[\psi]_{\mathcal{B}_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = A.$$

4. We notice that  $f \in F$  and that

$$[f]_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . Since  $f^{(n)} = \psi^n(f)$ , and since the matrix of  $\psi$  in the basis  $\mathcal{B}$  is  $A$ , we have

$$[f^{(n)}]_{\mathcal{B}} = [\psi^n(f)]_{\mathcal{B}} = A^n [f]_{\mathcal{B}} = A^n \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

From the form of  $A^n$  that we obtained in Part I, we conclude that

$$[f^{(n)}]_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{pmatrix} 2^{n-1}n + 2^{n-2}n(n-1) \\ 2^n(n+1) \\ 2^n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2^{n-2}(2n + n(n-1)) \\ 2^n(n+1) \\ 2^n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2^{n-2}n(n+1) \\ 2^n(n+1) \\ 2^n \end{pmatrix} = 2^{n-2} \begin{pmatrix} n(n+1) \\ 4(n+1) \\ 4 \end{pmatrix},$$

hence

$$\forall x \in \mathbf{R}, f^{(n)}(x) = 2^{n-2}(n(n+1) + 4(n+1)x + 4x^2)e^{2x}.$$