INSTITUT NATIONAL DES SCIENCES APPLIQUÉES SCIENCES LYON SCAN 1 — S2— Solution of Math Test #5 Romaric Pujol, romaric.pujol@insa-lyon.fr

Exercise 1.

$$I = \int_0^1 \frac{e^x}{1 + e^x} \, dx = \left[\ln(1 + e^x) \right]_{x=0}^{x=1} = \ln(1 + e) - \ln(2) = \ln\left(\frac{1 + e}{2}\right)$$

2.

$$J_0 = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{1 + e^x} \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_0^1 \left(1 - \frac{e^x}{1 + e^x}\right) \, \mathrm{d}x = 1 - I = 1 - \ln\left(\frac{1 + e}{2}\right)$$

3. a) Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$J_{n+1} - J_n = \int_0^1 e^{-nx} \frac{e^{-x} - 1}{1 + e^x} \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

For $x \in [0, 1]$

$$\mathrm{e}^{-nx}\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-x}-1}{1+\mathrm{e}^x} \leq 0$$

(since $e^{-x} \leq 1$). Moreover, since the function we're integrating is continuous and not identically nil, and since the endpoints of the integral satisfy 0 < 1, we conclude that $J_{n+1} - J_n < 0$. Hence, the sequence $(J_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is decreasing.

b) Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Since the sequence $(J_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is decreasing,

$$J_{n+1} \le J_n \le J_{n-1}.$$

Adding J_n to all terms of this inequality yields

$$J_{n+1} + J_n \le 2J_n \le J_n + J_{n-1},$$

and hence,

$$\frac{J_{n+1} + J_n}{2} \le J_n \le \frac{J_n + J_{n-1}}{2}.$$

4. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Then

$$J_{n-1} + J_n = \int_0^1 \frac{e^{-(n-1)x} + e^{-nx}}{1 + e^x} \, dx = \int_0^1 e^{-nx} \, dx = \frac{1 - e^{-n}}{n}$$

5. From the two previous questions we conclude that:

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*, \ \frac{1 - e^{-n-1}}{2(n+1)} \le J_n \le \frac{1 - e^{-n}}{2n}.$$

i.e.,

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*, \ (1 - e^{-n-1}) \frac{n}{n+1} \le 2nJ_n \le 1 - e^{-n}.$$

Hence, by the Squeeze Theorem,

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} 2nJ_n = 1$$

as required.

Exercise 2.

1. a) Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since a > 0,

$$\forall x \in [0,1], \ x^n \le x^n e^{ax} \le e^a x^n$$

hence (since the endpoints of the integral satisfy 0 < 1):

$$\int_0^1 x^n \, \mathrm{d}x \le I_n \le \int_0^1 \mathrm{e}^a x^n \, \mathrm{d}x$$
$$\frac{1}{n+1} \le I_n \le \frac{\mathrm{e}^a}{n+1}.$$

hence

- b) By the Squeeze Theorem we conclude that $\lim_{n \to +\infty} I_n = 0$.
- 2. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$I_{n+1} = \int_0^1 x^{n+1} e^{ax} dx = \left[x^{n+1} \frac{e^{ax}}{a} \right]_{x=0}^{x=1} - \int_0^1 (n+1) x^n \frac{e^{ax}}{a} dx = \frac{e^a}{a} - \frac{n+1}{a} I_n = \frac{1}{a} \left(e^a - (n+1) I_n \right).$$

3. From the previous questions, we conclude that:

$$0 = \lim_{n \to +\infty} I_{n+1} = \lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{a} \left(e^a - (n+1)I_n \right),$$

hence

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} (n+1)I_n = \mathrm{e}^a$$

hence

$$I_n \underset{n \to +\infty}{\sim} \frac{\mathrm{e}^a}{n+1} \underset{n \to +\infty}{\sim} \frac{\mathrm{e}^a}{n}$$

Exercise 3. We recognize a rational function, with the degree of the numerator less than that of the denominator, and where the polynomial part $X^2 + 2X + 2$ is irreducible in \mathbb{R} (since the discriminant is $2^2 - 4 \times 2 = -4 < 0$), hence there exists $A, B, C \in \mathbb{R}$ such that:

$$\frac{X}{(X+1)(X^2+2X+2)} = \frac{A}{X+1} + \frac{BX+C}{X^2+2X+2}$$

We now determine the values of A, B and C (there are other ways that what is presented here):

- for A, multiply by X + 1 and evaluate at X = -1, and we get A = -1,
- for C, we evaluate at X = 0, and we get 0 = A + C/2, hence (since A = -1), C = 2,
- for B, we multiply by X and take the limit as $X \to +\infty$, and we get 0 = A + B, hence (since A = -1), B = 1.

Hence,

$$\forall x \in (-1, +\infty), \ f(x) = \frac{-1}{x+1} + \frac{x+2}{x^2+2x+2}$$

We now rewrite f as follows: for $x \in (-1, +\infty)$,

$$f(x) = \frac{-1}{x+1} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{2x+2}{x^2+2x+2} + \frac{1}{x^2+2x+2} = \frac{-1}{x+1} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{2x+2}{x^2+2x+2} + \frac{1}{(x+1)^2+1} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{2x+2}{x^2+2} + \frac{1}{(x+1)^2+1} + \frac{$$

Hence, an antiderivative of f is given by the following function F:

$$F: (-1, +\infty) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$
$$x \longmapsto -\ln(x+1) + \frac{1}{2}\ln(x^2 + 2x + 2) + \arctan(x+1).$$

Exercise 4. The function $t \mapsto e^{t^2}$ is continuous on \mathbb{R} hence, for $x \in \mathbb{R}$, the integral defining g is well-defined. Let

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f : & \mathbb{R} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R} \\ & t & \longmapsto & \mathrm{e}^{t^2}, \end{array}$$

and let F be an antiderivative of f (such an antiderivative exists since f is continuous). Then,

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \ g(x) = F(\cos(x)) - F(\sinh(x)).$$

Since F is differentiable we conclude, by the chain rule and elementary differentiation rules, that g is differentiable and that:

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, g'(x) = -\sin(x)F'(\cos(x)) - \cosh(x)F'(\sinh(x)) = -\sin(x)e^{\cos^2(x)} - \cosh(x)e^{\sinh^2(x)}$$

Exercise 5.

(S)
$$\underset{\substack{R_{2} \leftarrow R_{2} - aR_{1} \\ R_{3} \leftarrow R_{3} - aR_{1}}}{\Leftrightarrow} \begin{cases} x + y + z = 1 - a \\ (1 - 2a)y + (1 - 2a)z = -a + 2a^{2} \\ y + z = 0 \end{cases} \\ \begin{cases} x + y + z = 0 \\ (1 - 2a)y + (1 - 2a)z = -a + 2a^{2} \\ (1 - 2a)y + (1 - 2a)z = -a + 2a^{2} \end{cases} \\ \\ R_{3} \leftarrow R_{3} - (1 - 2a)R_{2} \end{cases} \begin{cases} x + y + z = 1 - a \\ y + z = 0 \\ 0 = -a + 2a^{2} = a(-1 + 2a). \end{cases}$$

Hence the rank of System (S) is 2, and System (S) is compatible if and only if a = 0 or a = 1/2.

• If
$$a = 0$$
,
(S) $\iff \begin{cases} x+y+z=1\\ y+z=0 \end{cases} \iff \begin{cases} x=1\\ y=-z\\ z=z, \end{cases}$
• if $a = 1/2$,

$$\begin{pmatrix} x = 1/2\\ z=z, \end{cases}$$

(S)
$$\iff \begin{cases} x+y+z=1/2\\ y+z=0 \end{cases} \iff \begin{cases} x=1/2\\ y=-z\\ z=z. \end{cases}$$

Exercise 6.

- 1. Clearly, 2u + v = (2, 2, 2, 2) + (1, 2, -1, 2) = (3, 4, 1, 4) = w, hence $w \in \text{Span}\{u, v\}$.
- 2. We conclude that $\text{Span}\{u, v, w\} = \text{Span}\{u, v\}$. Now the vectors u and v are clearly non-collinear, hence the family (u, v) is independent, hence $\text{rk } \mathscr{F} = \dim \text{Span}\{u, v\} = 2$.

The vectors a and b are independent, hence $\operatorname{rk} \mathscr{G} = 2$.

3. a) We compute the rank of (u, v, a, b):

Hence $\dim(F + G) = \dim \operatorname{Span}\{u, v, a, b\} = 4$. By the Inclusion–Equality Theorem, we conclude that F + G = E.

b) By Grassmann's formula,

$$\dim(F \cap G) = \dim F + \dim G - \dim(F + G) = 2 + 2 - 4 = 0.$$

c) Since dim $(F \cap G) = \{0_E\}$, the subspaces F and G are independent, hence the sum F + G is a direct sum.

Exercise 7.

- 1. $\mathscr{B} = (1, X, X^2)$, and dim E = 3.
- 2. a) Clearly, $0_E \in F$, hence $F \neq \emptyset$. Let $P, Q \in F$ and let $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$(\lambda P + \mu Q)(0) + (\lambda P + \mu Q)'(1) = \lambda P(0) + \mu Q(0) + \lambda P'(1) + \mu Q'(1) = \lambda (P(0) + P'(1)) + \mu (Q(0) + Q'(1)) = 0,$$

- since $P,Q\in F,$ hence $\lambda P+\mu Q\in F.$ We conclude that F is a subspace of E.
- b) Let $P \in G$, say $P = a + b(1 + X) + c(1 + X^2) = cX^2 + bX + (a + b + c)$ for some $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\begin{split} P \in F \iff (a+b+c)+2c+b &= 0 \\ \iff & \left\{a+2b+3c=0 \\ \iff & \left\{a=-2b-3c \\ b=b \\ c=c. \\ \iff & P = (-2b-3c)+b(1+X)+c\left(1+X^2\right) \\ \iff & P = b\left(-1+X\right)+c\left(-2+X^2\right). \end{split}$$

Hence a basis of $F \cap G$ is $(-1 + X, -2 + X^2)$.

Exercise 8.

1. " $f: E \to F$ is a linear map" means:

$$\forall u,v \in E, \ \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{K}, \ f(u+\lambda v) = f(u) + \lambda f(v).$$

- 2. a) Ker $f = \{ u \in E \mid f(u) = 0_F \}.$
 - b) Since f is linear, $f(0_E) = 0_F$, hence $0_E \in \text{Ker } f$, hence $\text{Ker } f \neq \emptyset$. Let $u, v \in \text{Ker } f$ and let $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$. Then, since f is linear, $f(u + \lambda v) = f(u) + \lambda f(v) = 0_F + \lambda 0_F = 0_F$, hence $u + \lambda v \in \text{Ker } f$. Hence Ker f is a subspace of E.
 - c) The Rank–Nullity Theorem for f is:

$$\dim E = \dim \operatorname{Ker} f + \operatorname{rk} f.$$